Suspended sediment transport monitoring and modelling: state of the art



Sediment Management in Channel Networks: from Measurements to Best Practices

Bozen - Bolzano, 8.11.2018

H. Habersack, M. Haimann, M. Tritthart BOKU - University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna





Outline

- 1. Objectives
- 2. Monitoring technologies and strategy
- 3. Suspended sediment transport modelling
- 4. Conclusions





Technology	Operating Principle	Advantages	Disadvantages
Acoustic	Sound backscattered from sediment is used to determine size distribution and concentration.	Good spatial and temporal resolution, measures over wide vertical range, nonintrusive	Backscattered acoustic signal is difficult to translate, signal attenuation at high particle concentration
Bottle sampling	Water-sediment sample is taken isokinetically by submerging container in streamflow and is later analyzed.	Accepted, time-tested technique, allows determination of concentration and size distribution, most other techniques are calibrated against bottle samplers	Poor temporal resolution, flow intrusive, requires laboratory analysis to extract data, requires on-site personnel
Pump sampling	Water-sediment sample is pumped from stream and later analyzed.	Accepted, time-tested technique, allows determination of concentration and size distribution	Poor temporal resolution, intrusive, requires laboratory analysis, does not usually sample isokinetically
Focused beam reflectance	Time of reflection of laser incident on sediment particles is measured.	No particle size dependency, wide particle size and concentration measuring range	Expensive, flow intrusive, point measurement only
Laser diffraction	Refraction angle of laser incident on sediment particles is measured.	No particle-size dependency	Unreliable, expensive, flow intrusive, point measurement only, limited particle- size range
Nuclear	Backscatter or transmission of gamma or X-rays through water-sediment samples is measured.	Low power consumption, wide particle size and concentration measuring range	Low sensitivity , radioactive source decay, regulations, flow intrusive, point measurement only
Optical	Backscatter or transmission of visible or infrared light through water-sediment sample is measured.	Simple, good temporal resolution, allows remote deployment and data logging, relatively inexpensive	Exhibits strong particle-size dependency, flow intrusive, point measurement only, instrument fouling
Remote spectral reflectance	Light reflected and scattered from body of water is remotely measured.	Able to measure over broad areas	Poor resolution, poor applicability in fluvial environment, particle size dependency (based on Wren et al., 2000)





Bottle and pump sampling:







Optical sensors:







• Acoustic devices:

Single frequency



(https://www.sequoiasci.com)



Multi frequency



SediScat[™] Pro (http://www.hydrovision.de/)







• Laser diffraction:



Refraction angle of laser incident on sediment particles is measured

(https://www.sequoiasci.com)









Monitoring strategy

Parameter	Method	Frequency	
Turbidity	Turbidity sensor	Continously	
Concentration of suspended sediments close to the sensor	Single point samples close to the sensor	High sediment discharge: min. daily Med. sediment discharge: min. 1-2 / week Low sediment discharge: rare	
Distribution of the concentration of suspended sediments in a cross section	Selected point method, depth-integrated method ADCP+samples	2-4 times/year at different discharges	(BMLFUW, 2008; 2017)
Grain size	Single or multi-point samples	At least once a year	
 Determination and temporal Combination indirect mether 	n of the spati variability of direct ar ods	al nd (E) 2.0 (D) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E	Participation of the second se
(Haimann et al., 2014)		0 10 20 3 width [m	30 40 50]







(Habersack et al., 2013)





Probe factor and concentration close to the sensor









(Habersack et al., 2013)





Cross-sectional measurements

Suspended sediment transport in a cross section:

$$Q_s = \int_0^b \int_0^{t_w} s_0 v \, dt_w db$$

Mean suspended sediment concentration in a cross section:



- determined by
- Multi-point sampling

(BMLFUW, 2008; 2017)

ADCP measurements combined with samples





Mean suspended sediment concentration



Turbidity data (black), suspended sediment concentration close to the sensor (red) and mean suspended sediment concentration (blue)







(Habersack et al., 2013)







Suspended sediment load



Suspended sediment transport





Suspended Sediment Monitoring

Suitability of monitoring methods – part of 1st SedAlp milestone

- highly suited for measuring this parameter
- suited for measuring this parameter

...

••

- partially suited for measuring this parameter
- not suited to measure this parameter

Monitoring method Parameter of Interest	Acoustic	Bottle sampling	Pump sampling	Focused beam reflectance	Laser diffraction	Nuclear	Optical	Remote spectral reflectance
Suspended sediment rate [kg s ⁻¹]	••	•	•••	•	•	•	•••	•
Total suspended sediment load [kg, t]	••	•	•••	•	•	•	•••	•
Spatial variability of suspended load	••	•	-	-	-	-	-	••
Temporal variability of suspended load	••	•	•	•	•	•	•••	••
Variation of sediment volume [m, m³]	••	•	••	•	•	•	•••	•
Initiation of motion [m ³ s ⁻¹]	••	-	-	•	•	-	•••	-
Particle size distribution	•••	••	••	-	-	••	-	-
Habersack et al., 2015 WWW.Sedalp.eu								





Suspended Sediments – Flood 2013









Flood event June 2013







Remobilisation in a HPP reservoir



Abschnitt Aschach Strom-km 2162,900 - 2203,200 (100m) Summe der Stromsohlenänderungen zwischen August 1963 und Oktober 2013





Sedimentation during flood 2013

Sediments: Deposion in floodplains







Suspended sediment transport modelling (as implemented in the iSed model)

- Important to consider different suspended sediment size fractions
- Transport governed by advection-diffusion equation (evaluated for every size fraction *i*):

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (u_1 c_i)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial (u_2 c_i)}{\partial x_2} + \left[\frac{\partial (u_3 c_i)}{\partial x_3} \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(K_{t,1} \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left(K_{t,2} \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \left(K_{t,3} \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x_3} \right) \right] + \left(s_{dep,i} - s_{ero,i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Solution e.g. by applying a generalized Finite Volume Method on control volumes
- Exchange with river/channel bed modelled by sedimentation and erosion fluxes (source/sink terms)

(Tritthart et al., 2011)





Deposition and erosion fluxes (examples as implemented in the iSed model)

Deposition flux according to van Rijn (1984):

$$S_{dep,i} = w_{ci} \frac{c_i}{F_i} \qquad F_i = \frac{\left(\frac{z_{0i}}{h}\right)^{Z_i^*} - \left(\frac{z_{0i}}{h}\right)^{\beta_n}}{\left(1 - \frac{z_{0i}}{h}\right)^{Z_i^{*^*}} (\beta_n - Z_i^{*^*})}$$

Erosion flux following Garcia and Parker (1991):

$$s_{ero,i} = w_{ci} p_i E_{sk,i}$$
 $E_{sk,i} = \frac{A(\lambda Z_{mi})^5}{1 + \frac{A}{0,3} (\lambda Z_{mi})^5}$





Bed evolution and bed schematization

Exner equation for bed evolution, including bedload and suspended load:

$$(1 - n_p)\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q_{si,x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q_{si,y}}{\partial y} = s_{dep,i} - s_{ero,i}$$

Sorting processes – exchange layer concept:







Modelling example #1 – Danube River



Upstream view (photo: courtesy National Park Donauauen)





Model setup: computation mesh







Validation of model results based on measurements



Suspended load: cross-section measurements using a US P-61-A sampler vs. simulation results





Application Danube: monitoring and modelling of spatio temporal variability of dredging and dumping fine material







ADCP-Measurements + calibration probes







Results of a 3D numerical suspended sediment modelling during dumping of dredged material

Susp.sed.concentr. [mg/l]

100.000	
200.000	
300,000	
400.000	
500.000	
600.000	
700.000	
800.000	
900.000	
1000.000	
1100.000	
1200.000	
1300.000	
1400.000	

(Haimann et al., 2018)

Feinsedimentstudie Winterhafen Linz (TS 23.07.2008 14:01:00)

Q = 2375 m³/s





Modelling example #2: Rodund reservoir







Sedimentation in reservoir no. 1



→ reservoirs were dredged

2011 approx. 366.000 m³ sediments

Legende Auflandung *



(Kessler., 2013)





Model calibration





Flow velocity [m/s]





Flow field in operation mode 1 (pump operation)







Flow field in operation mode 2 (turbine operation)







Suspended sediment concentrations in operation mode 2







Synthesis: Proposal of measures to achieve concentrated sedimentation patches for reduced dredging effort



Suspended sediment transport monitoring and modelling: state of the art I Habersack H., Haimann M., Tritthart M.





Conclusions

Monitoring

- Determination of the spatial and temporal variability by combining direct and indirect methods
- Continuous monitoring with OBS (or ABS) sensors mounted on the river bank (If possible, automatized and connected to online monitoring system)
- Calibration of sensors with samples taken close to the sensor
- Cross-sectional calibration several times a year at different water flows, with a special attention to flood events

Modelling

- Type of numerical modelling depends on spatio-temporal variability and study aims
- Monitoring data are a prerequisite for modelling (calibration, validation, input data, boundary conditions)
- Consideration of suspended sediment size fractions is important to achieve good agreement with measurement data
- Valuable tool for analysis of suspended sediment transport in rivers, reservoir sedimentation, flushing, turbidity currents and proposal of structural measures





Thank you for your attention!

Helmut Habersack, Marlene Haimann, Michael Tritthart

University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna Department of Water, Atmosphere and Environment Institute of Water Management, Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering

Muthgasse 107 1190 Vienna





References

- Bittner G. (2008): Vergleich von Trübungssonden bei der Schwebstoffmessung unter definierten Laborbedingungen, Diplomarbeit, Universität für Bodenkultur, Wien.
- BMLFUW (2008; 2017): Schwebstoffe im Fließgewässer Leitfaden zur Erfassung des Schwebstofftransports (Austrian Guideline of surveying suspended sediment transport) <u>https://www.bmnt.gv.at/wasser/wasser-oesterreich/wasserkreislauf/Schwebstoffe_LF.html</u>
- Gmeiner P., Liedermann M., Haimann M., Tritthart M., Habersack H. (2016): Grundlegende Prozesse betreffend Hydraulik, Sedimenttransport und Flussmorphologie an der Donau. Österreichische Wasser- und Abfallwirtschaft, 68, 208-216; ISSN 0945-358X
- Habersack H., Liedermann M., Tritthart M., Haimann M., Kreisler A. (2013): Innovative Approaches in Sediment Transport Monitoring and Modelling. In: IAHR, Proceedings of 2013 IAHR World Congress.
- Habersack, H., Aigner, J., Rindler, R., Blaumauer, B., Wagner, B., et al., 2015. Sediment Transport Monitoring, Final Report WP5, Alpine Space Project SedAlp (Sediment Management in Alpine Basins: Integrating Sediment Continuum, Risk Mitigation and Hydropower) (256 pp.).
- Haimann M., Liedermann M., Lalk P., Habersack H. (2014): An integrated suspended sediment transport monitoring and analysis concept. Int J Sediment Res.; 29(2): 135-148.
- Haimann, M; Hauer, C; Tritthart, M; Prenner, D; Leitner, P; Moog, O; Habersack, H (2018): Monitoring and modelling concept for ecological optimized harbour dredging and fine sediment disposal in large rivers.
- HYDROBIOLOGIA; 814(1): 89-107.
- Kessler Ch. (2013): Variantenuntersuchung der Verlandungsprozesse von Speicherseen der Vorarlberger Illwerke basierend auf numerischer Modellierung. Masterarbeit - Institut für Wasserwirtschaft, Hydrologie und konstruktiver Wasserbau (IWHW), BOKU-Universität für Bodenkultur, 159 S.
- Tritthart M., Liedermann, M., Schober, B., Habersack, H. (2011): Non-uniformity and layering in sediment transport modelling 2: river application. Journal of Hydraulic Research; 49(3): 335-344.